



Effect of various plant growth substances on growth, flowering, yield and quality attributes of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb Mansf.) cv. Durgapura Lal (RW-177-3)

D. C. Chaudhary¹, N. M. Patel², H. T. Patel³ and M. H. Nurbhanej⁴

^{1,3,4}MSc. Horticulture, College of Horticulture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat, India

² College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, S.K.Nagar, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT: The present investigation was carried out during summer season, 2014 at Horticulture Instructional Farm, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat). Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments viz., T₁ (Control), T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm), T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm), T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm), T₅ (NAA 25 ppm), T₆ (NAA 50 ppm), T₇ (NAA 75 ppm), T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm), T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm) and T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm). The results revealed that, the maximum length of main creeper at 60 and 90 DAS (201.78 and 309.23 cm), respectively, number of sub-creeper at 60 and 90 DAS (7.53 and 8.23) and number of leaves per plant at 60 and 90 days after sowing (244.10 and 412.68), maximum chlorophyll content at 45 and 60 days (31.38 and 36.85) and produced the maximum number of male flower (165.75) were recorded with treatment GA₃ 30 ppm (T₄). The TIBA 20 ppm (T₁₀) proved to be most effective for produced the lower node number at which first female flower appears (8.25), maximum number of female flower (19.35) and lowered sex ratio (1: 7.13) and maximum numbers of fruits i.e., 3.00, fruit yield per plant i.e., 12.39 kg per plant, fruit yield per hectare (619.50 q/ha) and fruit length i.e., 24.32 cm. The maximum average fruit weight (4.27 kg/fruit) and fruit diameter i.e., 22.71 cm recorded in treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm).

[C. Chaudhary¹, N. M. Patel², H. T. Patel³ and M. H. Nurbhanej. **Effect of various plant growth substances on growth, flowering, yield and quality attributes of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb Mansf.) cv. Durgapura Lal (RW-177-3).** Life Sci J 2023;20(5):45-48]. ISSN 1097-8135 (print); ISSN 2372-613X (online). <http://www.lifesciencesite.com>.07.doi:10.7537/marslsj200523.07.

Key words: Flowering, GA₃, Growth, NAA, TIBA, Watermelon, Yield.

INTRODUCTION

Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) is a cucurbitaceous crop believed to the native of Africa (Thompson and Kelly, 1957). Watermelon has high nutritive value, it is rich in vitamin 'C' which is good for health, low in sugar and calories because of high per cent of water. In India, watermelon is grown in about 80.00 lakh hectare areas with the production of 1954.00 lakh tones and productivity of 23.80 tons per hectare (Anon., 2014).

Plant growth regulator are known to be modifying growth and sex expression, improve fruit set and ultimately increase yield in a number of cucurbits. Exogenous application of plant growth regulators can alter the sequence of male and female flowers, if applied at 2 or 4 leaf stages the critical stage at which the suppression or promotion of either sexes is possible. Hence, by proper manipulation the sequence of flowering with the application of exogenous plant growth regulators, the yield of cucurbits can be increased. A relationship between growth substances and sex expression probably exists in these plants. During flowering period, formation of pistillate organs is favoured by high auxin level in vicinity of differentiating primordial and of staminate

organs by a low level (Heslop Harrison, 1957). The present investigation was therefore undertaken to evaluate the potentiality of GA₃, NAA and TIBA on influencing the growth and flowering of watermelon.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with four replications during summer, 2014 on Horticulture Instructional Farm, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar (Gujarat). The variety Durgapura Lal (RW-177-3) were tested with ten treatments viz., T₁ (Control), T₂ Gibberellic acid (GA₃ 10 ppm), T₃ Gibberellic acid (GA₃ 20 ppm), T₄ Gibberellic acid (GA₃ 30 ppm), T₅ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA 25 ppm), T₆ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA 50 ppm), T₇ Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA 75 ppm), T₈ 2,3,5-tri-iodobenzoic acid (TIBA 10 ppm), T₉ 2,3,5-tri-iodobenzoic acid (TIBA 15 ppm) and T₁₀ 2,3,5-tri-iodobenzoic acid (TIBA 20 ppm). The seeds were sown at a spacing of 2 × 1 m² on 25th February. The applications of well decomposed farm yard manure @ 20 t/ha were applied for all the experimental plots uniformly as basal application. Nitrogen @ 100 kg/ha in the form of urea was applied in two equal split doses at basal dose and 30 days after sowing. Phosphorus @ 50 kg/ha in the

form of single super phosphate (SSP) and Potassium @ 50 kg/ha in the form of muriate of potash were applied as a basal dose. Standard cultural practices were followed during the entire crop period for all the experiment plots. The seedlings were sprayed with each chemical once at 2nd and 4th leaf stages. The data were recorded from five randomly selected tagged plants. All the recorded data were analyzed statistically following analysis techniques of Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Effect of GA₃, NAA and TIBA on growth parameters:

The maximum length of main creeper at 60 and 90 days after sowing was recorded in treatment T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm) *i.e.*, 201.78 cm and 309.23 cm, respectively which was statistically at par with treatment T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm), T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm) and T₆ (NAA 50 ppm) *i.e.*, 201.05, 194.85 and 187.05 cm, respectively, whereas, T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm) and T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm) *i.e.*, 299.45 and 292.13 cm at 90 days after sowing.

The maximum number of sub-creeper per plant at 60 days after sowing (7.53) were recorded in treatment T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm) and it was statistically at par with treatment T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm) and T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm) *i.e.*, 7.00 and 6.85, respectively.

The GA₃ cause physiological modifications in the plants mainly stimulate the activity of higher photosynthetic, synthesis and translocation of metabolites from sources of sink points (Hilli *et al.* 2010). Ingle *et al.* (2000) also reported the higher length of main vine and number of sub vine in bottle gourd.

The highest number of leaves per plant at 60 and 90 days after sowing (244.10 and 412.68, respectively) was recorded in treatment T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm) which was statistically at par with treatment T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm) and T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm) *i.e.* 238.35 and 231.85, 410.00 and 408.68, respectively. These results are in conformity with the findings of Mishra *et al.* (1972) in bottle gourd, Dixit *et al.* (2001) in watermelon and Chovatia *et al.* (2010) in bitter gourd.

The maximum chlorophyll content in leaves at 45 days after sowing (31.38) were recorded in treatment T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm) and which was statistically at par with treatment T₆ (NAA 50 ppm), T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) and T₅ (NAA 25 ppm), *i.e.*, 30.38, 27.46 and 27.36, respectively. The maximum chlorophyll content in leaves at 60 days after sowing (36.85) were recorded in treatment T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm) and which was statistically at par with treatment T₆ (NAA 50 ppm) *i.e.*, 34.54. Treatment T₁ (Control) recorded minimum length of main creeper, number of sub creeper and leaves, first female flower appearance and chlorophyll content.

The chlorophyll have been rightly designated as “pigments of life” because of their central role in living system responsible for harvesting sunlight and transforming its energy in to biochemical energy essential for life on earth (Arteca and Dong, 1981).

Effect of GA₃, NAA and TIBA on flowering parameters:

The minimum node number at which first female flower appears (8.25) was recorded in treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) which was statistically at par with treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm) *i.e.*, 9.58. These results are conformity with those of Arora *et al.* (1987) in ridge gourd and Kakroo *et al.* (2005) in bottle gourd.

The minimum number of days taken for initiation of first female flower (46.78 days) was recorded in treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) and treatment T₁ (Control) recorded maximum days for initiation of first female flower *i.e.*, 53.70 days. The minimum number of days for fruit setting recorded with treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) *i.e.*, 48.75 days and it was statistically at par with treatment of T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm) and T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm) *i.e.*, 49.03 and 49.85.

These results are conformity with those of Wittwar and Hillyer (1954) in cucumber, Patel (1997) in watermelon.

Treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) recorded maximum number of female flower per vine *i.e.*, 19.35 which was statistically at par with T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm), T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm), T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm) *i.e.*, 18.58, 18.50 and 18.33, respectively. T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm) recorded maximum number of male flowers per vine *i.e.*, 165.75, which was statistically at par with treatment T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm) and T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm) *i.e.*, 165.18 and 153.33, respectively.

TIBA decreased vine length and resulted in production of more primary branches on which female flowers appeared in large number (Gopalkrishnan and Chaudhury, 1978).

The lowest sex ratio (female : male) was recorded with treatment of T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) *i.e.*, 1 : 7.13 through it was statistically at par with treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm), T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm), T₇ (NAA 75 ppm) and T₆ (NAA 50 ppm) *i.e.*, 1 : 7.20, 1 : 7.27, 1 : 8.57 and 1 : 8.49 respectively. According to Gopalkrishnan and Chaudhury (1978), the lower the node number of the first female flower, the lower is the male : female ratio, it was evident that in the present studies the vine treated with TIBA 20 ppm the first female flower appeared at the lowest node and the male : female flower ratio was also lowest. These results are in agreement with the findings of Patel (1997) in watermelon. Similar response of TIBA on the sex ratio has been reported by Wittwar and Hillyer (1954) in cucumber.

Effect of GA₃ NAA and TIBA on yield parameters:

Treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) recorded maximum numbers of fruits *i.e.*, 3.00 and which was statistically at par with treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm) *i.e.*, 2.70. This may be due to the fact that TIBA suppressed the number of male flowers and promoted number of female flowers there by increased number of fruits and ultimately produced the more yield (Gopalkrishnan and Chaudhary, 1978).

The maximum average fruit weight (4.27 kg/fruit) recorded in treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm) which was statistically at par with treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm), T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm), T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm), T₆ (NAA 50 ppm) and T₇ (NAA 50 ppm) *i.e.*, 4.13, 3.98, 3.96, 3.88 and 3.88, respectively.

Treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) produced highest fruit yield per plant *i.e.*, 12.39 kg per plant and fruit yield per hectare (619.50 q/ha). The probable reason for increased fruit yield due to TIBA treatment was suppressed the number of male flowers and promoted number of female flowers there by increased number of fruits and ultimately produced the more yield. These results are in agreement with the findings of Gopalkrishnan and Chaudhary (1978) and Alikhan *et al.* (1985) in watermelon and Kakroo *et al.* (2005) in bottle gourd.

Effect of GA₃, NAA and TIBA on quality parameters:

Treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm) recorded maximum fruit diameter *i.e.*, 22.71 cm which was statistically at par with treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm), T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm), T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm), T₃ (GA₃ 20 ppm) and T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm), *i.e.*, 22.51, 21.52, 21.83, 21.81, and 21.31 cm, respectively. The probable reason for increase in fruit diameter was due to respiration and photosynthesis of treated plants. This may be due to greater accumulation of carbohydrates owing to photosynthesis, which resulted in to increased diameter. These results were in close accordance with findings of Pandya (1995) in bottle gourd.

Treatment T₁₀ (TIBA 20 ppm) recorded maximum fruit length *i.e.*, 24.32 cm, which was statistically at par with treatment T₉ (TIBA 15 ppm), T₈ (TIBA 10 ppm), T₂ (GA₃ 10 ppm) and T₄ (GA₃ 30 ppm), *i.e.*, 24.30, 23.64, 22.30 and 22.27 cm, respectively. These effects may be explained in light of the report of Crane and Overbreak (1965) who suggested that the sole function to growth of fruits depend upon foods can be translocated from parts of plants towards the fruits. Total soluble solid, reducing sugar (%), non-reducing sugar (%) and total sugar (%) content in fruit influenced by different treatments was found to be non significant results.

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Alikhan, S.; Reddy, N. T. and Reddy, E. N. (1985). Effect of plant nutrients and growth regulators on growth, flowering and sex ratio in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb. Monsf.). *South Indian Horticulture*. **33** (5): 336-338.
- [2]. Anonymous (2014). Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi.
- [3]. Arora, S. K.; Pratap, P. S. and Pandita, M. L. (1988). Influence of foliar application of plant growth regulator on growth, sex expression and yield of watermelon. *Haryana Agriculture University Journal Research*. **18**(2): 114-118.
- [4]. Arteca, R. N. and Dong, C. N. (1981). increased photosynthetic rates following Gibberellic acid treatments to the roots of tomato plants. *Photosynthesis Research*. **2**(2): 243-249.
- [5]. Chovatia R. S.; Ahlawat T. R.; Kavathia, Y. A.; Jivani, L. L. and Kaila, D. C. (2010). Effect of plant growth regulators on vegetative growth, flowering and yield of bitter melon cv. Priya. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **67**: 254-258.
- [6]. Crane, J. C. and Overbreak, J. V. (1965). Induction of parthenocarpy in figs by kinin. *Sciences*. pp. 147-148.
- [7]. Dixit, A.; Rai, N. and Vijay Kumar (2001). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, earliness and sex ratio in watermelon under Chhattisgarh region. *Indian Journal Agriculture Research*. **35** (1): 66-68.
- [8]. Gopalkrishnan, P. K. and Chaudhary, B. (1978). Effect plant growth regulator sprays on modification of sex, fruit set and development in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* (Thumb) Mansf.). *Indian Journal Agriculture Research*. **33**: 235-241.
- [9]. Heslop-Harrison, J. (1957). The experimental modification of sex expression in flowering plants. *Biological Reviews*. **32**: 38-39.
- [10]. Hilli J. S.; Vyakarnahal, B. S.; Biradar, D. P. and Hunje, R. (2010). Effect of growth regulators and stages of spray on growth, fruit set and seed yield of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb). *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*. **23** (2): 239-242.
- [11]. Ingle, V. G.; Jadhao, B. J. and Joshi P. S. (2000). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, sex expression and yield of bottle gourd (*Ligenaria siceraria* (Mol.). *Journal of Soils and Crops*. **10**: 101-104.
- [12]. Kakroo, S. M.; Singh, A. K.; Ahmed, N. and Raj Narayan (2005). Effect of growth

- regulators on flowering, fruiting and yield characters of bottle gourd *Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl. cv. SH-BG-1. *Environment and Ecology*. **23** (3): 624-627.
- [13]. Mishra, G. M.; Prasad, B. and Sinha, S. C. (1972). Effect of plant growth substances on growth, sex expression and yield of bottle gourd. *Proceedings of Third International Symposium on Subtropical and Tropical Horticulture*. 199-207.
- [14]. Pandya, B. (1995). Effect of plant growth regulators and chemicals on the growth, sex behavior and yield of bottle gourd (*Legenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.) cv. 'Pusa Summer Prolific Long' M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, submitted to Gujarat Agricultural University Sardarkrushinagar (India).
- [15]. Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural workers, I.C.A.R. Publications, New Delhi. 97-123.
- [16]. Patel, S. T. (1997). Effect of plant growth regulators and chemical on the growth, sex behavior, yield and quality of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* (Thumb) Mansf) cv. 'Arka Manik' thesis, submitted to Gujarat Agricultural University Sardarkrushinagar (India).
- [17]. Thompson and Kelly (1957). Cultivation aspects of cucurbitaceous. *Crops Science Horticulture*. **2**: 41-50.
- [18]. Wittwar, S. H. and Hillyer, I. G. (1954). Chemical induction of male sterility in cucurbits. *Science*. **120**: 893-894.

5/22/2023