# **Protein Requirement of Pregnant Yakut Mares**

Revory Vasilyevich Ivanov, Praskovya Fedoseyevna Permyakova, Afanasy Nikolaevich Ilyin

State Scientific Institution Yakut Scientific Research Institute of Agriculture Address: 677001, Russian Federation, Yakutsk, Bestuzheva-Marlinskovo Str. 23, housing 1 yniicx@mail.ru

**Abstract.** Inclusion of feed additives in the winter diet of pregnant mares increases the consumption of crude protein on 3-21%, for digestible 4-32%. In the summer experience, when grazing on alas and valley meadows Yakut mares consume an average of 330 g per day of crude protein per day on 100 kg live weight 211 g of digestible protein. This is according to 5 experiments. In winter, the consumption of crude protein was at 209 g per day per 100 kg live weight on digestible protein, 136 g for an average of four experiments with data on natural herbage of winter pasture. During summer, the horses' body is intense accumulation of reserve elements, increasing fatness, first by increasing muscle mass, further due to the deposition of subcutaneous fat and visceral fat in the abdomen. At this time in our experiments mare consumed 330 g per day on 100 kg live weight.

[Revory Vasilyevich Ivanov, Praskovya Fedoseyevna Permyakova, Afanasy Nikolaevich Ilyin. **Protein Requirement of Pregnant Yakut Mares.** *Life Sci J* 2018;15(2):45-52]. ISSN: 1097-8135 (Print) / ISSN: 2372-613X (Online). http://www.lifesciencesite.com. 6. doi:10.7537/marslsj150218.06.

Keywords: necessity; protein; digestible protein; mare; Yakut horse; diet.

## 1. Introduction

Energy deficit in feed is the main cause of low reproduction in horse breeding. Another limiting factor is the availability of the protein. A base for full protein nutrition of horses is to provide a specific set of amino acids for animals. Traditional hav-oat diets unbalanced for essential amino acids - lysine and methionine, the lack of which is 30-40% of the standards. Value is determined by amino acids that they can be used to increase the usefulness of protein feed, and thereby, reduce the consumption of the production process. This particularly applies to lysine - the first limiting amino acid for horses, which can reduce the enrichment of protein nutrition standards by 15-20% [3] (R. Bichon, 2004). Lysine is a part of all proteins and is essential for the formation of the skeleton (promotes the absorption of calcium and phosphorus) and increase of milk production. Affects the energy and lipid metabolism, determines the activity of some enzymes, red blood cells and the nervous system, ensure the normal flow of the vital processes of cells. Deamination of lysine is an irreversible process, it is important that it is continuously fed into the body during digestion. Lysine deficiency in the body leads to muscular dystrophy, stunted growth, disruption of the sexual cycle in females. Methionine promotes the growth of body and hair, a methyl group donor for the synthesis of choline and keratin. And also prevents oxidation of proteins, prevents fatty liver, neutralizes toxic substances in the liver, is involved in the formation of hemoglobin. Symptoms of failure are the coarsening of hair, muscle atrophy, anemia [8] (T.J. Kuhn, 1983).

The article discusses the experimental materials on the needs of Yakut horses in protein.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

Scientific and economic experiments conducted in Experimental Enterprise "Krasnaya Zvezda" in Megino-Kangalassky ulus for pregnant mares of Yakut breed in February and March.

Mares in each experiment were divided into two groups, by analogy - the control and experimental with 10 heads in each group. Animals during the experiments were placed in special paddocks. Duration of the experiment - 45 days.

Terms of keeping and feeding groups were identical. Experiments were carried out according to the scheme in Table 1, at the end of the experiments it was conducted definition of nutrient digestibility. The account of given fodder was held daily, feeding of the animals - twice: in the morning and evening. Feed ration was of good quality, the animals ate them willingly and almost completely.

Accounting period in the experiment on digestibility lasted 6 days according to the procedure of [13] A.I. Ovsyannikova (1976).

Mares of I experimental group received basal diet with replacement of 1 kg of oats - feed additive consisting of local raw materials, which includes willow flour, wheat germ, brewer's grain, Kempendyai salt and probiotic "Sakhabaktisubtil". For mares of II experimental group oats was replaced with 1 kg of feed additive.

Table 1. Scheme of scientific and economic experiments

Group	Number of Heads	Features of Feeding in a Group
	First Experience	
Control	10 Hay - 10 kg, oats	
Experin	nental 10 Hay - 10	kg, Kempendyai salt - 30 g
	+recipe #4 + probiotic	
	feed additive	
	"Sakhabaktisubtil"	
	Second Experience	
Control	10 Hay - 10 kg, oats	- 1 kg, Kempendyai salt - 30 g
Experin	nental 10 Hay - 10	kg, Kempendyai salt - 30 g
	+ recipe #5 of the feed	
	Additive	

## 3. Results and discussion.

The defining problem in winter preventive feeding and stationary short feeding of Yakut pregnant mares is the poor quality of basic fodder - hay of natural lands (Table 2). Because of the high fiber content (up to 35% of dry matter) energy contained in the cell wall, difficult to digest, and is unable to meet the full needs of the organism [12] (P.F. Permyakova, etc.).

Table 2. Chemical composition of the diet used in the feeding of pregnant mares

Index	In absolutely d	ry matter, %				
	protein	fat	fiber	ash	NFE	_
Hay*	$7.26 \pm 1,14$	2.96±005	35.87±0.47	5.20±0.12	$45.63 \pm 0.91$	
Oats*	20.43 ±0.35	$2.95 \pm 0.22$	14.06 ±0.11	$2.87 \pm 0.05$	51.94 ±0.44	
g/kg						_
	Ca	P	K	Mn	Na	C1
Hay*	$6.4 \pm 0.04$	$2.4 \pm 0.01$	11.61±0.12	$5.02 \pm 0.08$	$2.10 \pm 0.02$	4.01 ±0.01
Oats*	$0.25\pm0.01$	$3.1 \pm 0.01$				

Note: Average general sample for all groups\*.

NFE – nitrogen-free extractives.

Recipes are for pregnant mares in Table 3.

According to researches of M.F. Gabyshev, A.A. Kazansky, A.D. Egorov green leaves of big scaly chosenia contain 32.14% of protein, 4.25-6.61% fat, fiber 7.0-14.0%, 5.46-7.33% calcium 0.38-0.57% phosphorus. Gmelin's willow that grows in the islands of the Lena River, contains in the month of July: moisture - 60% protein - 9.2, fat - 3.3, fiber - 20.4, ash - 5.8, carotene - 46.0 mg/kg, and in winter - 53.1%, 3.2, 1.5, 22.6, 2.0, 1.0 respectively. Their mineral composition: calcium - 1.65%, phosphorus - 0.65%, sodium - 0.64 g/kg, potassium - 12.5 g/kg, iron - 230.0 mg/kg, magnesium - 30.0 mg/kg, copper - 5.9 mg/kg; composition varies in winter: calcium - 0.70%, phosphorus - 0.08%, sodium - 0.06 g/kg, potassium - 6.0 g/kg, magnesium - 33.0 mg/kg, copper - 5,3 mg/kg [15] (N.T. Popov, etc., 1977).

Table 3. Recipes of feed	supplement for pregnant mares
Components Units of	f Measure Recipe #1
Brewer's grain g	210
Talnikova flour g	220
Germinated wheat	g 540
Kempendyai salt g	30
Probiotic ml	20 ml per 5
"Sakhabaktisubtil"	billion CFU/ml
Components Units of	of Measure Recipe #2
Brewer's grain g	210
Zeolite g 220	
Germinated barley	g 540
Kempendyai salt g	30

Table 3. Recipes of feed supplement for pregnant mares

Talnikova flour is eaten very well and is a source of minerals.

Germinated wheat in the feed additive was included as an energy-protein supplement as a source of vitamins.

[2] G.P. Belehov, etc. (1965) writes that germinated grain is used for winter feeding of animals for enriching diets with vitamins. If the grain germinated for 6-8 days, the green sprouts reached 6.8 cm, and in this case they accumulate vitamin C and carotene. When the grain germinated for 2-3 days before the white sprouts grow carotene content increases by 24 times and vitamins B2 - in 6-8 times in comparison with non-sprouted grains.

In studies of [7] O.A. Kosharov (2007) on improving the technology of horses' reproduction was found that supplementation for the diet for mares of Russian horse breed with dry malt sprouts and fresh sprouted barley, have positive impact on the safety of pregnancy, resulting in higher yield of foals at 20 and 10%, respectively.

[3] R. Bishop (2004) relates feed yeast to stimulants of digestion. In the diet of horses, writes R. Bishop, yeast stimulate bacterial fermentation in the large intestine, thereby improving digestion of fiber. In addition, yeast is a source of protein and B vitamins.

We have included the brewer's grain in feed additive to stimulate the eatability of the diet and improve the digestibility of fiber. We believe that in the quantities that we used it in the diet (210 g per day per head in the mixture); brewer's grain should not cause indigestion, bloating and constipation leading to abortion

The composition of the feed additive recipe #1 is also included preparation "Sakhabaktisubtil" [16]

(S.S. Tatarinova, etc, 2009), created in a laboratory of microbial preparations in the Yakut Scientific Research Institute of Agriculture based on strains of bacteria Bacillus subtilis TNP-3 and Bacillus subtilis TNP-5 isolated from permafrost soils of Yakutia and certified in the Russian Collection of Microorganisms Used in Animal Husbandry and The All-Russian State Center for Quality and Standartization of Veterinary Drugs and Feed (VGNKI).

The strains have a pronounced antagonistic action against pathogenic and conditionally pathogenic microorganisms (bacteria, fungi and viruses); interferon activity; immunostimulating effect; an ability to produce enzymes and stimulate the growth and development of beneficial intestinal microflora.

Considering the fact that mares' active fetal growth begins in the last 3 months (90 days) of pregnancy and fetal weight is 10% of the live weight of the mare, the need of the exchange energy in the mare at this time is about 106.0 MJ [11] (P.F. Permyakova, etc. 2011; [5] R.V. Ivanov, etc. 2013). Metabolizable energy content of the diet, prepared by us [15] (R.V. Ivanov, etc. 2014), corresponds to the needs of the Yakut mares in energy (Table 4).

The dry matter content, crude protein, crude fat, crude fiber, nitrogen-free extractives, macro-and micronutrients and vitamins were within the standards of feeding pregnant mares. At a rate of feeding pregnant mares (live weight of 400 - 600 kg) requires 10.0 - 15.0 kg of dry matter per head per day 1 [10] (Standards and Rations..., 2003).

In both experiments pregnant mares in all groups consumed the same amount of dry substance (10.28 and 10.29 kg, 10.43 kg and 10.16 kg, respectively), which corresponds to normal.

Table 4. Feeding diets of Yakut pregnant mares (1 head per day)

Index	I experience	alets of Yakut pregnant ma	II experience	19)
Index	control	experimental	control	experimental
	Dry Matter Co		Control	enpermientar
Hay, kg	10	10	10	10.0
Oat, kg	1.0	-	1.0	-
Feed Additive, kg	-	1.0	-	1.0
The Diets Contained:				
Metabolizable Energy, MJ	96.3	102.6	98.13	125.54
Dry Matter, kg	10.28	10.29	10.43	10.16
Crude Protein, g	1000	1030	881	1068
Calcium, g	107.4	109.5	86.47	90.71
Phosphorus, g	18.5	22.1	24.69	31.6
Magnesium, g	89.0	88.8	230.61	275.29
Copper, mg	71	109.5	69.96	81.59
Zinc, mg	269.0	22.1	260.5	323.34
Cobalt mg	5.0	7.7	4.64	7.13
Manganese, mg	260.0	309.4	85.26	86.58
Iodine, mg	3.25	4.15	2.78	3.74
Carotene, mg	-	1.05	-	0.92
Vitamin E, mg	675.4	716.09	618.35	704.31
$B_1$ , mg	25.0	31.6	21.06	26.92
$B_2$ , mg	128.0	130.0	103.06	105.44
B <sub>3</sub> , mg	250.5	261.71	193.28	207.72
B <sub>4</sub> , mg	846	843.0	617.95	625.67
B <sub>5</sub> , mg	-	-	206.21	252.59
B <sub>6</sub> , mg	-	-	136.62	144.34

To study the effect of feed additives on nutrient digestibility of diets it was conducted physiological experiments on six pregnant mares (three mares from each group) at the end of both experiments on feeding. Table 5 shows the nutrient content of the feed eaten.

Table 5. The content of nutrients in the feed eaten and digestibility coefficients in experiments on pregnant mares

Consumed, g         control         experimental         control         experimental           Dry Matter         10280	Index I experience			II experience				
Dry Matter         10280 ±0.19         ±0.21         ±0.01         ±0.30           Organic Matter         8900         9020         8977         10314           Crude Protein         ±0.01         ±0.05         ±0.02         ±0.27           Crude Protein         ±0.04         ±0.04         ±0.02         ±0.20           Crude Fiber         3430         3420         3461         3620           Crude Fiber         ±0.12         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.30           Crude Fat         180         184         250           NFE         ±0.07         ±0.04         ±0.03         ±0.19           NFE         4290         4390         4451         5376           ±0.06         ±0.23         ±0.02         ±0.17           Digested, g:         5839         6130         5894         7584           Organic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.46         ±0.02         ±0.29           Organic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.05         ±0.01         ±0.03           Crude Protein         700         730         392         634           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.05		control	experimental	control	experimental			
Dry Matter         ±0.19         ±0.21         ±0.01         ±0.30           Organic Matter         ±0.01         ±0.05         ±0.02         ±0.27           Crude Protein         1000         1030         881         1068           Crude Protein         ±0.04         ±0.04         ±0.02         ±0.20           Crude Fiber         3430         3420         3461         3620           Crude Fat         ±0.12         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.30           Crude Fat         ±0.07         ±0.04         ±0.03         ±0.19           NFE         ±0.07         ±0.04         ±0.03         ±0.19           NFE         ±0.06         ±0.23         ±0.02         ±0.17           Digested, g:         5984         7584         5984           Dry Matter         6439         6970         5984         7584           0rganic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.46         ±0.02         ±0.29           Organic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.05         ±0.01         ±0.03           Crude Protein         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.03           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.05 <td colspan="8"></td>								
Organic Matter	Day Matter	10280	10290	10.43	10,16			
Origanic Matter         ±0.01         ±0.05         ±0.02         ±0.27           Crude Protein         1000         1030         881         1068           Crude Fiber         ±0.04         ±0.04         ±0.02         ±0.20           Crude Fiber         ±0.12         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.30           Crude Fat         180         180         184         250           NFE         ±0.07         ±0.04         ±0.03         ±0,19           NFE         4290         4390         4451         5376           NFE         ±0.06         ±0.23         ±0.02         ±0.17           Digested, g:         Dry Matter         6439         6970         5984         7584           Dry Matter         ±0.02         ±0.46         ±0.02         ±0.29           Organic Matter         5839         6130         5890         7302           Organic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.05         ±0.01         ±0.03           Crude Protein         700         730         392         634           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.05           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.23         ±0.01	Dry Matter	$\pm 0.19$	±0.21	$\pm 0.01$	±0.30			
Origanic Matter         ±0.01         ±0.05         ±0.02         ±0.27           Crude Protein         1000         1030         881         1068           Crude Fiber         ±0.04         ±0.04         ±0.02         ±0.20           Crude Fiber         ±0.12         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.30           Crude Fat         180         180         184         250           NFE         ±0.07         ±0.04         ±0.03         ±0,19           NFE         4290         4390         4451         5376           NFE         ±0.06         ±0.23         ±0.02         ±0.17           Digested, g:         Dry Matter         6439         6970         5984         7584           Dry Matter         ±0.02         ±0.46         ±0.02         ±0.29           Organic Matter         5839         6130         5890         7302           Organic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.05         ±0.01         ±0.03           Crude Protein         700         730         392         634           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.05           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.23         ±0.01	Onconio Motton	8900	9020	8977	10314			
Crude Protein         ±0.04         ±0.04         ±0.02         ±0.20           Crude Fiber         3430         3420         3461         3620           ±0.12         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.30           Crude Fat         180         180         184         250           Loor         ±0.07         ±0.04         ±0.03         ±0,19           NFE         4290         4390         4451         5376           NFE         ±0.06         ±0.23         ±0.02         ±0.17           Digested, g:           Dry Matter         6439         6970         5984         7584           ±0.02         ±0.46         ±0.02         ±0.29           Organic Matter         5839         6130         5890         7302           Organic Matter         ±0.02         ±0.05         ±0.01         ±0.03           Crude Protein         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.05           Crude Fiber         ±0.01         ±0.02         ±0.01         ±0.05           Crude Fat         110         120         118         182           ±0.01         ±0.01         ±0.03         ±0.003     <	Organic Matter		±0.05	$\pm 0.02$	±0.27			
Crude Fiber 3430 3420 3461 3620  Crude Fiber ±0.12 ±0.02 ±0.01 ±0.30  Crude Fat 180 180 184 250  NFE 4290 4390 4451 5376  ±0.06 ±0.23 ±0.02 ±0.17  Digested, g:  Dry Matter 6439 6970 5984 7584  ±0.02 ±0.46 ±0.02 ±0.29  Organic Matter ±0.02 ±0.46 ±0.02 ±0.29  Organic Matter ±0.02 ±0.05 ±0.01 ±0.03  Crude Protein 700 730 392 634  Crude Protein ±0.01 ±0.02 ±0.05  Crude Fiber ±0.01 ±0.02 ±0.01 ±0.05  Crude Fiber ±0.01 ±0.02 ±0.01 ±0.05  Crude Fiber ±0.01 ±0.23 ±0.01 ±0.05  Crude Fat 110 120 118 182  Crude Fat ±0.01 ±0.01 ±0.03  NFE 3230 3350 3596 4392  ±0.01 ±0.005 ±0.16  Digestibility Coefficient, %  Dry Matter 62.64 67.73 62.49 68.71	Con to Donatain	1000	1030	881	1068			
Crude Fiber	Crude Protein	$\pm 0.04$	±0.04	$\pm 0.02$	±0.20			
Crude Fat	Crudo Eibor	3430	3420	3461	3620			
Crude Fat       ±0.07       ±0.04       ±0.03       ±0,19         NFE       4290       4390       4451       5376         ±0.06       ±0.23       ±0.02       ±0.17         Digested, g:         Dry Matter       6439       6970       5984       7584         ±0.02       ±0.46       ±0.02       ±0.29         Organic Matter       5839       6130       5890       7302         ±0.02       ±0.05       ±0.01       ±0.03         Crude Protein       700       730       392       634         ±0.01       ±0.02       ±0.01       ±0.05         Crude Fiber       1800       1930       1816       2211         ±0.01       ±0.23       ±0,01       ±0.10         Crude Fat       110       120       118       182         ±0.01       ±0.01       ±0.03       ±0.003         NFE       ±0.01       ±0.07       ±0.005       ±0.16         Digestibility Coefficient, %         Dry Matter       62.64       67.73       62.49       68.71	Crude Fiber	$\pm 0.12$	±0.02	$\pm 0.01$	±0.30			
NFE $\begin{pmatrix} \pm 0.07 & \pm 0.04 & \pm 0.03 & \pm 0.19 \\ 4290 & 4390 & 4451 & 5376 \\ \pm 0.06 & \pm 0.23 & \pm 0.02 & \pm 0.17 \end{pmatrix}$ Digested, g:  Dry Matter $\begin{pmatrix} 6439 & 6970 & 5984 & 7584 \\ \pm 0.02 & \pm 0.46 & \pm 0.02 & \pm 0.29 \\ 00000000000000000000000000000000000$	Con do Est	180	180	184	250			
Digested, g:       ±0.02       ±0.17         Dry Matter       6439       6970       5984       7584         ±0.02       ±0.02       ±0.29       ±0.29         Organic Matter       5839       6130       5890       7302         Crude Protein       700       730       392       634         Crude Protein       700       730       392       634         Crude Fiber       1800       1930       1816       2211         Crude Fiber       ±0.01       ±0.23       ±0,01       ±0.10         Crude Fat       110       120       118       182         Crude Fat       ±0.01       ±0.01       ±0.03       ±0.003         NFE       ±0.01       ±0.07       ±0.005       ±0.16         Digestibility Coefficient, %       62.64       67.73       62.49       68.71	Crude Fat	$\pm 0.07$	±0.04	$\pm 0.03$	±0,19			
Digested, g:   Dry Matter	NEE	4290	4390	4451	5376			
Dry Matter       6439	NFE	$\pm 0.06$	±0.23	$\pm 0.02$	±0.17			
Dry Matter       ±0.02       ±0.46       ±0.02       ±0.29         Organic Matter       5839       6130       5890       7302         ±0.02       ±0.05       ±0.01       ±0.03         Crude Protein       700       730       392       634         Crude Fiber       1800       1930       1816       2211         Crude Fiber       ±0.01       ±0.23       ±0,01       ±0.10         Crude Fat       110       120       118       182         ±0.01       ±0.01       ±0.03       ±0.003         NFE       ±0.01       ±0.07       ±0.005       ±0.16         Digestibility Coefficient, %         Dry Matter       62.64       67.73       62.49       68.71	Digested, g:							
Organic Matter $\pm 0.02$ $\pm 0.46$ $\pm 0.02$ $\pm 0.29$ Organic Matter $5839$ $6130$ $5890$ $7302$ Crude Protein $700$ $730$ $392$ $634$ Crude Protein $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.02$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.05$ Crude Fiber $1800$ $1930$ $1816$ $2211$ Crude Fiber $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.23$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.10$ Crude Fat $110$ $120$ $118$ $182$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.03$ $\pm 0.003$ NFE $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.07$ $\pm 0.005$ $\pm 0.16$ Digestibility Coefficient, %         Dry Matter $62.64$ $67.73$ $62.49$ $68.71$	D M-44	6439	6970	5984	7584			
Organic Matter       ±0.02       ±0.05       ±0.01       ±0.03         Crude Protein       700       730       392       634         ±0.01       ±0.02       ±0.01       ±0.05         Crude Fiber       1800       1930       1816       2211         Crude Fiber       ±0.01       ±0.23       ±0,01       ±0.10         Crude Fat       110       120       118       182         ±0.01       ±0.01       ±0.03       ±0.003         NFE       ±0.01       ±0.07       ±0.005       ±0.16         Digestibility Coefficient, %         Dry Matter       62.64       67.73       62.49       68.71	Dry Matter	$\pm 0.02$	±0.46	$\pm 0.02$	±0.29			
Crude Protein 700 730 392 634  Crude Protein ±0.01 ±0.02 ±0.01 ±0.05  Crude Fiber 1800 1930 1816 2211  Crude Fat ±0.01 ±0.23 ±0,01 ±0.10  Crude Fat ±0.01 ±0.01 ±0.03 ±0.003  NFE 3230 3350 3596 4392  ±0.01 ±0.07 ±0.005 ±0.16  Digestibility Coefficient, %  Dry Matter 62.64 67.73 62.49 68.71	O	5839	6130	5890	7302			
Crude Protein	Organic Matter	$\pm 0.02$	±0.05	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.03$			
Crude Fiber 1800 1930 1816 2211  Crude Fiber 20.01 ±0.23 ±0,01 ±0.10  Crude Fat 110 120 118 182  ±0.01 ±0.01 ±0.03 ±0.003  NFE 3230 3350 3596 4392  ±0.01 ±0.07 ±0.005 ±0.16  Digestibility Coefficient, %  Dry Matter 62.64 67.73 62.49 68.71	Con to Donatain	700	730	392	634			
Crude Fiber $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.23$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.10$ Crude Fat $110$ $120$ $118$ $182$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.03$ $\pm 0.003$ NFE $3230$ $3350$ $3596$ $4392$ $\pm 0.01$ $\pm 0.07$ $\pm 0.005$ $\pm 0.16$ Digestibility Coefficient, %  Dry Matter $62.64$ $67.73$ $62.49$ $68.71$	Crude Protein	$\pm 0.01$	±0.02	$\pm 0.01$	±0.05			
Crude Fat $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Con to Eiler	1800	1930	1816	2211			
Crude Fat  ±0.01 ±0.01 ±0.03 ±0.003  NFE 3230 3350 3596 ±0.01 ±0.07 ±0.005 ±0.16  Digestibility Coefficient, %  Dry Matter 62.64 67.73 62.49 68.71	Crude Fiber	$\pm 0.01$	±0.23	$\pm 0.01$	±0.10			
NFE $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Con do Est	110	120	118	182			
NFE     ±0.01     ±0.07     ±0.005     ±0.16       Digestibility Coefficient, %       Dry Matter     62.64     67.73     62.49     68.71	Crude rai	$\pm 0.01$	±0.01	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.003$			
Digestibility Coefficient, %  Dry Matter 62.64 67.73 62.49 68.71	NEE	3230	3350	3596	4392			
Dry Matter 62.64 67.73 62.49 68.71	NFE	$\pm 0.01$	±0.07	$\pm 0.005$	±0.16			
I Irv Matter								
	Day Motton	62.64	67.73	62.49	68.71			
$\pm 0.19$ $\pm 0.17$ $\pm 0.19$ $\pm 0.49$	Dry Matter	$\pm 0.19$	±0.17	$\pm 0.19$	±0.49			
65.61 67.96 56.61 70.8	O	65.61	67.96	56.61	70.8			
Organic Matter $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 & 0.07 & 0.00 \\ \pm 0.01 & \pm 0.03 & \pm 0.01 & \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	Organic Matter	$\pm 0.01$	±0.03	$\pm 0.01$	±0.01			
Crude Protein 70.00 70.87 54.5 59.36	Con de Duetain	70.00	70.87	54.5	59.36			
Crude Protein $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Crude Protein	$\pm 0.5$	±0.69	$\pm 0.5$	±0.03			
Crude Fiber 52.48 56.43 52.47 61.08	Crudo Eibor	52.48	56.43	52.47	61.08			
Erude Fiber $\pm 0.29$ $\pm 0.27$ $\pm 0.29$ $\pm 0.28$	Clude Fiber	$\pm 0.29$	$\pm 0.27$	$\pm 0.29$	±0.28			
Crudo Fot 61.11 66.66 64.0 72.8	Crudo Est	61.11	66.66	64.0	72.8			
Crude Fat $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Crude Fat	$\pm 0.53$	$\pm 0.74$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.02$			
NFE 75.29 76.31 80.8 81.7	NEE	75.29	76.31	80.8	81.7			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NFE	$\pm 0.20$	$\pm 0.60$	$\pm 0.20$	±0.15			

These data indicate that the I experimental group of animals is digested more solids for 531~g~(8.25%), and organic matter - 291~g~(4.98%), crude protein - 30~g~(4.28%), of crude cellulose - 130~g~(7.22%), crude fat - 10~g~(9.09%) and NFE - 120~g~(3.71%) than the control group of animals. The mares of experimental groups digestibility of dry matter is more than 5.1%, organic matter - 2.35, crude protein - 0.87, crude fiber - 3.95, crude fat - 5.55% and NFE - on 1.02% compared to the control group.

Mares in the control group of the second experiment with the same dry matter content of the diet (by 10.43 and 10.16) consumed 98.13 MJ of metabolizable energy, and the animals of the experimental group at 125.4 MJ per day per head, the difference is 27.1 MJ or 27.7% more.

Table 6 gives the performance of the protein value of diets with the inclusion of feed additives used in stationary feeding of pregnant mares before accouchement.

Table 6 Protein	Value of the Diet of	Pregnant Mares	and Their Pr	rovision of D	igestible Protein
i dole o. i i otelli	variate of the Diet of	i i ogiiani iviai os	una mich m	O VISION OF D	

Forage	Dry matter consumption, kg	Crude pro		Digestible consumpt	e protein conte tion, g	ent in feed
		On one head per day, g	On 100 kg of live weight,	On one head per day, g	On 100 kg of live weight, g	1 kg of dry substance, g
First experience						
Control group:						
Oats+hay	10.28	1000	250	700	175	68
Experimental group: Hay + feed additive (Talnikova flour, brewer's grain, sprouted wheat, probiotic)	10.29	1030	257	730	182.5	71
Second experience						
Control group: Hay+oats	10.43	881	220	480	120	46
Experimental group: Hay + feed additive (zeolite, brewer's grain, sprouted barley)	10.16	1068	267	634	158	62.4

The table shows that the inclusion of feed additives increases the consumption of crude protein on 3-21%, 4-32% for digestible.

Previously A.F. Abramov developed standards of feeding for Yakut horses with the needs of digestible nutrients based on an analysis of published data held not in conditions of Yakutia and other breeds of horses. Need for digestible protein for pregnant mares in the second period of pregnancy was determined to be 200 g per day per 100 kg of live weight [1] (A.F. Abramov, 2000).

Feeding norms for pregnant mares (9 months of pregnancy head/day) riding and racing breed with a body weight up to 400 kg on wet protein - 1.0 kg, 0.70 kg of digestible protein, or 100 kg of live weight, respectively - 205 and 175 g per day [10] (Standards and rations..., 2003).

The data of our experiments are below the standards proposed by A.F. Abramov by control groups with oat and hay rations for 12.5-40%, according to experimental groups - 9-21%.

It is known that in the last three months of pregnancy, active fetal growth increases the mares' need for nutrients more than 20% [3] (Bichon, 2004). At the same time due to the increased size of the fetus mares have a reduced ability to eat large amounts of food. Therefore, at this time the inclusion in the diet of oat and hay feed additives to promote better

digestibility of nutrients of the diet is an important task of science.

In Table 7 we present our experimental data for previous years [6] (R.V. Ivanov, 2000).

In summer experience, when grazing on alas and small valley meadows Yakut mares consume an average of 330 g per day of crude protein per day per 100 kg of live weight with 211 g of digestible protein. This is according to 5 experiments.

In winter the consumption of crude protein was at 209 g per day per 100 kg of live weight on digestible protein, 136 g for an average of the four experiments data in winter pasture on natural herbage.

During summer, there is intense accumulation of reserve elements in the horses' body, increasing fatness, first by increasing muscle mass, further due to the deposition of subcutaneous fat and visceral fat in the abdomen. At this time in our experiments mares consumed 330 g per day per 100 kg of live weight.

Information is derived from 5 experiments, so they can be taken as average indicators (to date).

In winter we have data of 4 experiments for the consumption of winter pasture feed digestibility for free-grazing on natural herbage.

When mares consume 209 g of crude protein per day per 100 kg of live weight they lose fatness, therefore, usually in the second half of winter, they are tied for stationary feeding or organize feeding on

the winter pasture ground depending on the conditions of wintering and fatness.

By hay-oat diet: the rate of 10 kg of hay and 1 kg of oats per 1 head per day in the last quarter of pregnancy - mares consumed 220-250 g of crude protein per day per 100 kg of live weight (data of 2 experiments).

When replacing 1 kg of oats by feed additives it was improved nutrient digestibility of the diet and thus, increased the consumption of crude protein by 12%.

From the data of our experiments we can conclude that the need of pregnant mares in the last quarter of pregnancy may be at the level of 270-300 g of crude protein per 100 kg of body weight per day.

Table 7. The diet protein value and security of their digestible protein

		Crude pro	otein	Digestible	protein conten	nt in feed			
	Dry matter consumption, kg	consumption, g		consumption, g					
Forage		On one	On 100 kg of	On one	On 100 kg of	1 kg of dry			
	consumption, kg	head per	live weight,	head per	live weight,	substance, g			
		day, g	g	day, g	g	substance, g			
Experiments on small lowland meadow in Central Yakutia									
Grass sedge-									
gramineous at	14.1	1974	493	1348	337	95.6			
flowering									
The same at the									
aftermath of winter	12.2	1074	268	611	153	50.0			
pasture									
The same at the									
aftermath of winter	12.7	1403	351	916	229	110.4			
pasture with the		1105	331	710	22)	110.1			
addition of fertilizer									
Winter rye at winter	16.1	3961	990	2824	709	175.6			
pasture			<i>,,,</i> ,	2021	707	173.0			
Experiments in the area of the Lena-Amga rivers									
At normal load	6.7	956	239	658	164	98.2			
At minimum load	4.8	691	173	476	119	99.2			
At maximum load	4.5	637	159	438	109,5	97.3			
Experiments in the area of alas									
At normal load	11.47	1307	338	831	208	72.4			
At minimum load	5.5	1341	335	849	212	154.3			
At maximum load	11.9	636	159	405	101	34.0			
Pasture rotation	12.6	1209	325	793	198	63			

This data is higher than that of riding and trotting breeds that can be explained by the fact that the Yakut horses have greater need for the exchange of energy, which in turn is due to large in comparison with other regions, the energy losses in the year-round thermoregulation stay herd of horses in the pasture. This situation is explained by the existence of an optimal regard to caloric of diet to amino acids for each stage of the life cycle of the horse, the use of feed energy is maximized to a certain ratio of energy and amino acid of diet [8] (T.J. Kuhn, 1983).

As for digestible protein is not as simple as they say.

[9] V.G. Memedeykin (2007), based on his own data and analysis of the literature suggests reviewing

the needs of horses in digestible protein. The classical method of determining digestibility for input and output of the protein does not answer the fact that a portion of the nitrogen of feces is not digested, but some overcooked, i.e. have already participated in the metabolic processes of the body, and re-exuded in the intestinal lumen, and then exuded with feces.

According to the modern principles of assessing protein nutrient of feeds total protein content is of great importance, its solubility, degradability, and amino acid composition [4] (N.G. Grigoriev, etc. 1989).

We have to study the processes of bacterial fermentation in the large intestine of herd horses. By a deeper study of nutrition biology of herd horses only then we will be able to identify the needs in digestibility, truly digestible protein of feed.

Although it seems that the very concept of a protein should be reconsidered. It can only be about the digestibility of individual amino acids.

## References

- 1. Abramov AF. Ecological and biochemical basis of feed production and rational use of pastures in Yakutia. Novosibirsk, 2000:208.
- 2. Belekhov GP, Chubinskaya AA. Mineral and vitamin nutrition of farm animals. Leningrad, Kolos, 1965:300.
- 3. Bichon R. Feeding of Horses: A complete guide to correct feeding of horses. Transl. by Makhiyanova EB. Moscow, "Aquarium Book" Ltd., 2004:183.
- 4. Grigoryev NG, Volkov NP, Vorobyev ES. Biological usefulness of feed. Moscow, Agropromizdat, 1989:287.
- 5. Ivanov RV, Osipov VG, Permyakova PF. Energy requirement of pregnant mares of Yakut breed. Horse Breeding and Equestrian Sports, 2013;5:28-29.
- Ivanov RV. Scientific basis of improving the technology of feeding and maintenance of Yakut horses, thesis, All-Union Research Institute of Horse Breeding, 2000.
- 7. Kosharov OA. Guidelines in the diet of mares the dried malt to increase the output of foals, 2007:12.
- 8. Kuna TJ. Horse feeding. Transl. by Kovalchuk IS. Moscow, Kolos, 1983:352.
- Memedeykin VG, Kosharov OA. On the problem of protein for horses. In the Proceedings of the 2007 Scientific Support of the Competitiveness of Breeding, Sport and Productive Horse Breeding in Russia and the CIS Conference, 2007:81-89.
- Kalashnikova AP, Fisinina VI, Sheglova VV, Kleymenova NI. Standards and the diet of farm animals. Moscow, 2003:458.

- 11. Permyakova PF, Ivanov RV, Tarabukina NP, Neustroev MP. The use of a feed additive based on local raw materials and probiotic "Sakhabaktisubtil" at winter feeding of pregnant mares of Yakut breed. In the Proceedings of the 2011 IV-th International Scientific Practical Conference "Problems of horse breeding", 2011:172-176.
- 12. Permyakova PF, Ivanov RV, Ilyin AN, Mironov SM, Osipov VG, Slobodchikova MN. Efficiency of use of feed additives on the basis of local and synthetic raw materials in the diets of pregnant mares of Yakut breed. Advances in Science and Technology of AIC, 2013;1:28-29.
- 13. Ovsyannikov AI. Basics of experimental work in animal husbandry. Moscow, Kolos, 1976:304.
- 14. Orlinsky BS. Additives and premixes in diets. Moscow, Rosselhozizdat, 1984:173.
- 15. Popov NT, Abramov AF, Davydov EA, Fedorov VV. Some questions of fodder conservation. Yakutsk, Book Publishers, 1977:88.
- 16. Ugadchikov ST. Effect of synthetic lysine preparations on physiological and biochemical parameters of the organism in broodmares. Biological basis of the technology of horse breeding, 1982:135-140.
- 17. Ivanov RV, Permyakova PF, Vasilyeva RE, Osipov VG, Ilyin AN. Study of metabolism of Yakut horses. In the Proceedings of the 2013 XVII-th International Scientific Practical Conference "Fundamental and Applied Research, Development and Application of High Technologies in the Industry and the Economy", 2014:301
- 18. Tatarinova SS, Neustroev MP. Probiotic "Sakhabaktisubtil" in the treatment of cows' endometritis. In the Proceedings of the 2009 XXII-th International Scientific Practical Conference "Agricultural Science - Agricultural production in Kazakhstan, Siberia and Mongolia", 2009:420-422.

2/24/2018